



The Identity of Individuals in a Strict Functional Calculus of Second Order

Author(s): Ruth C. Barcan

Source: *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, Vol. 12, No. 1 (Mar., 1947), pp. 12-15

Published by: Association for Symbolic Logic

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2267171>

Accessed: 17/10/2008 15:52

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=asl>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Association for Symbolic Logic is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

THE IDENTITY OF INDIVIDUALS IN A STRICT FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS OF SECOND ORDER

RUTH C. BARCAN

In previous papers¹ we developed two functional calculi of first order based on strict implication which we called S2¹ and S4¹. In the present paper,² these systems will be extended to include a functional calculus of second order with the purpose of introducing the relation of identity of individuals.

Primitive symbols. \wedge {the abstraction operator, the blank space to be replaced by an appropriate variable}.

Syntactic notation. The Greek letters $\rho, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ represent propositional variables. $\theta, \phi, \psi, \theta_1, \phi_1, \psi_1, \dots, \theta_n, \phi_n, \psi_n$ represent functional variables of individuals. $\gamma, \delta, \gamma_1, \delta_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \delta_n$ will hereafter represent variables the type of which will be specified.

Extension of the definition of well-formed-formula. If A is a wff then $(\exists\gamma)A$ is a wff where γ may be a propositional or a functional variable. If A is a wff then $\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2 \dots \hat{\alpha}_n A(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ is a wff where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are distinct individual variables and $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ are individual variables not necessarily distinct.

An expression of the form $\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2 \dots \hat{\alpha}_n A$ will be termed an abstract.

Extension of the definition of free and bound variable. An occurrence of a variable γ in a wff A is a bound occurrence if it is in a wf part of A of the form $(\exists\gamma)B$ where γ may be a functional or a propositional variable as well as an individual variable. Otherwise it is a free occurrence. An occurrence of an individual variable β will be said to be free in the abstract $\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2 \dots \hat{\alpha}_n A$ if it is distinct from $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ and is free in A. Otherwise it is a bound occurrence.

Axiom schemata. 1.9, 1.10 and 1.11 are extended over functional variables of individuals and propositional variables.

- 2.1. $(\rho)A \rightarrow B$ where A, B, and Γ are wff, ρ is a propositional variable, no free occurrence of ρ in A is in a wf part of A of the form $(\gamma)E$ where γ is a variable free in Γ and B results from A by replacing all free occurrences of ρ in A by Γ .
- 2.2. $(\theta)A \rightarrow B$ where θ is a functional variable, A, B and H are wff's, no

Received October 9, 1946.

¹ R. C. Barcan, *A functional calculus of first order based on strict implication*, this JOURNAL, vol. 11 (1946), pp. 1-16 and *The deduction theorem in a functional calculus of first order based on strict implication*, this JOURNAL, vol. 11 (1946), pp. 115-118. The reader is asked to make the following changes in the enumeration of the axioms and theorems appearing in these papers: Place "1." before the number of each theorem, e.g., axiom 1 will be referred to as 1.1 etc.

² Part of this paper was included in a dissertation written in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree in Philosophy at Yale University. I am indebted to Professor Frederic B. Fitch for his criticisms and suggestions.

free occurrence of θ in A is in a wf part of A of the form $(\gamma)\Gamma$ where γ is any variable free in $\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_nH$ and B results from A by replacing all free occurrences of θ in A by $\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_nH$.³

- 2.3. $\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_nA(\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n) \equiv B$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n$ are distinct individual variables occurring freely in A, no free occurrence of α_m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) in A is in a wf part of A of the form $(\beta_m)\Gamma$, and B results from A by replacing all free occurrences of α_1 by β_1 , all free occurrences of α_2 by β_2 , \cdots , all free occurrences of α_n by β_n in A.

$\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_nA$ will be called an abstract of B where A and B are as in 2.3.

Rule IV is extended over functional variables of individuals and propositional variables.⁴

It is apparent from the axioms and rules of inference that all the proofs of S2¹ and S4¹ can be paralleled in the corresponding extended systems for variables of higher type. The same numerals will be used to indicate these parallel proofs.

The systems resulting from the extension of S2¹ and S4¹ to include the above axioms and rules will be referred to as S2² and S4² respectively.

Definitions.

$(\beta \in r) =_{df} r(\beta)$ where α and β are individual variables and r is an abstract of the form $\hat{\alpha}A$.

$(\beta_1R\beta_2) =_{df} R(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are individual variables and R is an abstract of the form $\hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2A$.

These two definitions will be used without explicit mention in subsequent proofs.

Identity of individuals.

$$I =_{df} \hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2(\theta)(\theta(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \theta(\alpha_2)).$$

$$\bar{I} =_{df} \hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2\sim(\theta)(\theta(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \theta(\alpha_2)).$$

$$I_m =_{df} \hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2(\theta)(\theta(\alpha_1) \supset \theta(\alpha_2)).$$

$$\bar{I}_m =_{df} \hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2\sim(\theta)(\theta(\alpha_1) \supset \theta(\alpha_2)).$$

The proofs of theorems 2.4–2.16 are straightforward. Most of them are similar to analogous theorems of *Principia mathematica*.

2.4. $\vdash (\beta_1I\beta_2) \rightarrow (\theta)(\theta(\beta_1) \rightarrow \theta(\beta_2)).$

2.5. $\vdash (\beta_1I\beta_2) \equiv (\theta)(\theta(\beta_1) \equiv \theta(\beta_2)).$

2.6. $\vdash \beta I\beta.$

2.7. $\vdash (\exists\beta)(\alpha I\beta).$

2.8. $\vdash \sim(\beta_1I\beta_2) \equiv (\beta_1\bar{I}\beta_2).$

2.9. $\vdash (\beta_1I\beta_2) \equiv (\beta_2I\beta_1).$

2.10. $\vdash (\beta_1I\beta_2) \rightarrow (\psi)(\psi(\beta_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) \equiv \psi(\beta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n)).$

2.11. $\vdash ((\beta_1I\beta_2)(\beta_2I\beta_3)) \rightarrow (\beta_1I\beta_3).$

2.12. $\vdash ((\beta_1I\beta_2)(\beta_2\bar{I}\beta_3)) \rightarrow (\beta_1\bar{I}\beta_3).$

³ 1.8, extended over propositional variables is a special case of 2.1. 1.8, extended over functional variables is a special case of 2.2.

⁴ The following condition should be added to Rule IV on p. 2 of *A functional calculus of first order etc.*: α should not occur freely in A at a place where β would be bound.

- 2.13. $\vdash (\beta_1 I \beta_2) \rightarrow ((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \equiv (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)).$
 2.14. $\vdash ((\beta_1 I \beta_2)(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \rightarrow (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A).$
 2.15. $\vdash (\beta_1 I \beta_2) \rightarrow (B_1 \equiv B_2)$ where β_1 occurs freely in n places of B_1 , and B_2 results from B_1 by replacing m free occurrences of β_1 in B_1 by β_2 .
 2.16. $\vdash ((\beta_1 I \beta_2)(\Gamma \rightarrow B_1)) \rightarrow (\Gamma \rightarrow B_2)$ where β_1, β_2, B_1 , and B_2 are as in 2.15.

The analogous theorems for the relation I_m can readily be established. We will list a few theorems involving this relation for use in subsequent proofs.

- 2.17. $\vdash (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \rightarrow (\theta)(\theta(\beta_1) \supset \theta(\beta_2)).$
 2.18. $\vdash \beta I_m \beta.$
 2.19. $\vdash (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \equiv (\beta_2 I_m \beta_1).$
 2.20. $\vdash \sim(\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \equiv (\beta_1 \bar{I}_m \beta_2).$
 2.21. $\vdash (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \rightarrow ((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \supset (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)).$
 2.22. $\vdash ((\beta_1 I_m \beta_2)(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \rightarrow (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A).$
 2.23. $\vdash \square(\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \equiv (\beta_1 I \beta_2).$
 2.24. $\vdash \square(\beta I_m \beta).$
 2.25. $\vdash (\exists \beta_1)((\beta_1 I \beta_2)(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \rightarrow (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 2.14, gen, lemma of 1.87, subst

The converse⁵ of 2.25 is not provable in $S2^2$. We can however prove the following rule:

- XXX. If $\vdash \beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A$ then $\vdash (\exists \beta_1)((\beta_1 I \beta_2)(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)).$
 hyp, 2.6, adj, 1.16, mod pon
- 2.26. $\vdash (\exists \beta_1)((\beta_1 I \beta_2) \square(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \equiv \square(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 $(\exists \beta_1)((\beta_1 I \beta_2) \square(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \rightarrow \square(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$
 2.22, VII, 19.81, subst, 2.23, gen, lemma of 1.87
 $(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow ((\beta_2 I_m \beta_2)(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A))$ 1.2.1, 2.24, adj, 18.61, mod pon
 $(\exists \beta_1)(\beta_1 I \beta_2) \square(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \equiv \square(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$
 VII, 19.81, subst, 2.23, 1.16, VIII, adj, def
- 2.27. $\vdash (\beta_1)((\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \supset (\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \equiv (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 $(\beta_1)((\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \supset (\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \rightarrow (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$
 1.8, 14.26, subst, 12.15, 2.24, adj, 18.61, mod pon
 $(\beta_1)((\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \supset (\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \equiv (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$
 2.19, 2.21, subst, 14.26, XVII adj, def
- 2.28. $\vdash (\beta_1)((\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \rightarrow (\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \equiv \square(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 2.27, VII, 18.7, 1.39, subst
- 2.29. $\vdash \sim \diamond(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1)((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1 \bar{I} \beta_2))$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 $\sim(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1)(\sim(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \vee (\beta_1 \bar{I} \beta_2))$
 2.25, X, 14.21, subst, 13.11, def, 2.8
 $\sim \diamond(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1)((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1 \bar{I} \beta_2))$
 VII, 12.3, 1.39, subst, 14.2, 18.7

⁵ To prove the converse of such a theorem as 2.25 requires some principle such as $\square A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \square A)$. That this principle is not provable in $S2^2$ can be shown by the Group I matrix on p. 493 of Lewis and Langford's *Symbolic Logic*, where this matrix has been appropriately interpreted for quantification.

The converse of 2.29 is not provable in $S2^2$. We are able however to prove the following rule:

XXXI. If $\vdash (\beta_1)((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1 \bar{I}\beta_2))$ then $\vdash \sim\Diamond(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$.
 $\sim\Diamond((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)(\beta_1 \bar{I}\beta_2))$ hyp, 1.8, mod pon, def, 2.8, 12.3, subst
 $((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)(\beta_1 \bar{I}\beta_2)) \rightarrow \sim(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ 19.74, mod pon
 $((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)(\beta_1 \bar{I}\beta_2)) \rightarrow (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ 2.14, 12.15, subst
 $\sim\Diamond(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ adj, 19.72, subst

Where the identity relation appearing in 2.29 is weakened to I_m we can prove the following:

2.30. $\vdash (\beta_1)((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1 \bar{I}_m\beta_2)) \equiv \sim\Diamond(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 2.28, 2.3, subst, 12.3

The material equivalence of I and I_m can be proved in $S2^2$.

2.31. $\vdash (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \equiv (\beta_1 I \beta_2)$.
 $(\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \supset ((\beta_1 I \beta_1) \supset (\beta_1 I \beta_2))$ 2.21, 14.1, mod pon, 2.3, subst
 $(\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \supset (\beta_1 I \beta_2)$ 15.8, subst, 2.6, adj, 14.29, mod pon
 $(\beta_1 I \beta_2) \supset (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2)$ 12.1, 2.23, subst, 18.42, VIII, 14.1, mod pon
 $(\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \equiv (\beta_1 I \beta_2)$ adj, def

In $S4^2$ we can prove the strict equivalence of I and I_m .

2.32*. $\vdash \Box(\beta_1 I \beta_2)(\beta_1 I \beta_2)$. 2.23, 1.104*, subst

2.33*. $\vdash (\beta_1 I \beta_2) \equiv (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2)$.
 $((\beta_1 I_m \beta_2)(\beta_1 I \beta_1)) \rightarrow (\beta_1 I \beta_2)$ 2.21, 2.3, subst, 14.26
 $(\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \rightarrow (\beta_1 I \beta_2)$ 2.6, 2.32*, subst, adj, 18.61, mod pon
 $(\beta_1 I \beta_2) \equiv (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2)$ 18.42, 2.23, subst, adj, def

A direct consequence of 2.33* is

2.34*. $\vdash (\beta_1 I_m \beta_2) \rightarrow (B_1 \equiv B_2)$ where $\beta_1, \beta_2, B_1, B_2$ are as in 2.15.

We can also derive without modification such properties of identity as:

2.35*. $\vdash (\exists\beta_1)((\beta_1 I \beta_2)(\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A)) \equiv (\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 12.1, 2.6, 2.32*, adj, 18.61, mod pon, 1.16, VIII, 2.25, def

2.36*. $\vdash \Box(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \equiv (\beta_1)((\beta_1 I \beta_2) \rightarrow (\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A))$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 2.28, 2.33*, subst

2.37*. $\vdash (\beta_1)((\beta_1 \in \hat{\alpha}A) \rightarrow (\beta_1 \bar{I}_m\beta_2)) \equiv \sim\Diamond(\beta_2 \in \hat{\alpha}A)$ where β_1 is not free in A .
 2.30; 2.20, 2.8, 2.33* subst