

Philosophy 109, Modern Logic, Queens College
 Russell Marcus, Instructor
 email: philosophy@thatmarcusfamily.org
 website: thatmarcusfamily.org/philosophy
 Office phone: (718) 997-5287

Indirect Proof, §7.6

I. Indirect Proof: Another method for derivations.

Consider the following two proofs:

- 1)
 1. $A \cdot \sim A$ / B
 2. A 1, Simp
 3. $A \vee B$ 2, Add
 4. $\sim A$ 1, Com, Simp
 5. B 3, 4, DS

QED

The moral: Anything follows from a contradiction.

- 2)
 1. $B \supset (P \cdot \sim P)$ / $\sim B$
 *2. B
 *3. $P \cdot \sim P$
 *4. P
 *5. $P \vee \sim B$
 *6. $\sim P$
 *7. $\sim B$

8. $B \supset \sim B$
 9. $\sim B \vee \sim B$
 10. $\sim B$

QED

The moral: If a statement entails a contradiction, then its negation is true.

Indirect proof is based on these two morals.

It's also called the method of reductio ad absurdum.

Assume your desired conclusion is false.

Try to get a contradiction

If you get it, then you know the opposite of the assumption is true.

Procedure for Indirect Proof, (IP)

1. Indent, assuming the opposite of what you want to conclude (one more or one fewer ' \sim ')
2. Derive a contradiction, using any letter
3. Discharge the negation of your assumption

Sample Derivation:

1. $A \supset B$
 2. $A \supset \sim B$ / $\sim A$
 *3. A AIP
 *4. B 1, 3, MP
 *5. $\sim B$ 2, 3, MP
 *6. $B \cdot \sim B$ 4, 5, Conj
 7. $\sim A$ 3-6, IP

QED

Let's see what happens if the opposite of the conclusion is true.

This is impossible - a contradiction

So $\sim \sim A$ must be false, and so $\sim A$ is true

This method is useful for proving disjunctions as well as simple statements and negations.

II. More sample derivations:

Plain indirect proof:

1. $F \supset \sim D$
 2. D
 3. $(D \cdot \sim E) \supset F$ / E
 - *4. $\sim E$ AIP
 - *5. $D \cdot \sim E$ 2, 4, Conj
 - *6. F 3, 5, MP
 - *7. $\sim D$ 1, 6, MP
 - *8. $D \cdot \sim D$ 2, 7, Conj
 9. $\sim \sim E$ 4-8, CP
 10. E 9, DN
- QED

Indirect proof with conditional proof

1. $E \supset (A \cdot D)$
 2. $B \supset E$ / $(E \vee B) \supset A$
 - *3. $E \vee B$ ACP
 - *4. $\sim A$ AIP
 - *5. $\sim A \vee \sim D$ 4, Add
 - *6. $\sim(A \cdot D)$ 5, DM
 - *7. $\sim E$ 1, 6, MT
 - *8. B 3, 7, DS
 - *9. $\sim B$ 2, 7, MT
 - *10. $B \cdot \sim B$ 8, 9, Conj
 - *11. $\sim \sim A$ 4-10, IP
 - *12. A 11, DN
 12. $(E \vee B) \supset A$ 3-12, CP
- QED

Proving logical truths using indirect proof

Prove that ' $\sim[(X \equiv Y) \cdot \sim(X \vee \sim Y)]$ ' is a logical truth.

- *1. $(X \equiv Y) \cdot \sim(X \vee \sim Y)$ AIP
 - *2. $X \equiv Y$ 1, Simp
 - *3. $(X \supset Y) \cdot (Y \supset X)$ 2, Equiv
 - *4. $\sim(X \vee \sim Y)$ 1, Com, Simp
 - *5. $\sim X \cdot Y$ 4, DM DN
 - *6. $Y \supset X$ 3, Com, Simp
 - *7. $\sim X$ 5, Simp
 - *8. $\sim Y$ 6, 7, MT
 - *9. Y 5, Com, Simp
 - *10. $Y \cdot \sim Y$ 9, 8, Conj
 11. $\sim[(X \equiv Y) \cdot \sim(X \vee \sim Y)]$ 1-10, IP
- QED

Remember that the conclusion, here, is not part of the proof, and has no line number until the end.

III. Exercises. Derive the conclusions of the following arguments using the 18 rules, and either CP or IP.

- 1)
 1. $A \supset B$
 2. $\sim A \vee \sim B$ / $\sim A$

2)

1. $F \supset (\sim E \vee D)$

2. $F \supset \sim D \quad / F \supset \sim E$

3)

1. $\sim J \supset (G \cdot H)$

2. $G \supset I$

3. $H \supset \sim I \quad / J$

4)

1. $S \supset (T \vee U)$

2. $W \supset \sim U \quad / S \supset \sim(W \cdot \sim T)$

5)

1. $(L \supset M) \cdot (N \supset O)$

2. $(M \vee O) \supset P$

3. $\sim P \quad / \sim(L \vee N)$

6)

Prove that $(A \supset B) \vee (B \supset A)$ is a logical truth.