

Philosophy 109, Modern Logic, Queens College
 Russell Marcus, Instructor
 email: philosophy@thatmarcusfamily.org
 website: thatmarcusfamily.org/philosophy
 Office phone: (718) 997-5287

Conditional proof, §7.5

I. Conditional Proof: A New Method of Derivation.

When you want to derive a conditional conclusion, you can assume the antecedent of the conditional, for the purposes of the derivation, taking care to indicate the presence of that assumption later.

Procedure:

1) Indent, assuming the antecedent of desired conditional.

Write 'ACP', for 'assumption for conditional proof'

Use a vertical line to set off the assumption from the rest of your derivation.

2) Derive the consequent of desired conditional.

Continue the vertical line.

Proceed otherwise as before, using any lines already established.

3) Discharge (un-indent).

Write the first line of your assumption, a horseshoe, and the last line of the indented sequence.

Justify the un-indented line with CP, and indicate the indented line numbers.

So, consider:

1. $A \vee B$

2. $B \supset (E \cdot D)$	/ $\sim A \supset D$	Note the conditional conclusion.
*3. $\sim A$	ACP	What if $\sim A$ were true (i.e. A were false)
*4. B	1, 3, DS	
*5. $E \cdot D$	2, 4, MP	
*6. D	5, Com, Simp	Then D would be true
7. $\sim A \supset D$	3-6, CP	So, if A were true, then D would be.

QED

Note that once you've discharged, you may *never* use statements within the scope of that assumption later in the proof.

You can use CP repeatedly within the same proof, whether nested or sequentially.

This is a nested CP

1. $P \supset (Q \vee R)$

2. $(S \cdot P) \supset \sim Q$	/ $(S \supset P) \supset (S \supset R)$	
*3. $S \supset P$	ACP	Now we want $S \supset R$
*4. S	ACP	Now we want R
*5. P	3, 4, MP	
*6. $Q \vee R$	1, 5, MP	
*7. $S \cdot P$	4, 5, Conj	
*8. $\sim Q$	2, 7, MP	
*9. R	6, 8, DS	
*10. $S \supset R$	4-9, CP	
11. $(S \supset P) \supset (S \supset R)$	3-10, CP	

QED

These are sequential uses. This demonstrates how CP is useful for proving biconditionals.

1. $(B \vee A) \supset D$

2. $A \supset \sim D$

3. $\sim A \supset B$ / $B \equiv D$

2)

1. $H \supset (E \supset F)$

2. $H \supset (G \supset F)$

3. $\sim F$ / $H \supset \sim(E \vee G)$

3)

1. $\sim L \supset M$

2. $\sim(L \cdot M)$ / $\sim M \equiv L$

4)

1. $K \supset (G \vee \sim I)$

2. $I \supset (G \supset J)$ / $K \supset (I \supset J)$

5)

1. $A \supset (B \vee D)$

2. $E \supset (\sim D \supset P)$

3. $\sim D$ / $\sim(B \vee P) \supset \sim(A \vee E)$

6)

Prove that $(P \supset Q) \supset [(P \cdot R) \supset (Q \cdot R)]$ is a logical truth.

7)

Prove that $(P \cdot Q) \supset [(P \vee R) \cdot (Q \vee R)]$ is a logical truth.